

# Excerpts from “Writing a grant: Dos and Don’ts”

Presented by Guy Czaika, PhD

Research Facilitator

Faculty of Health Sciences




# 1. Planning a proposal

## ➤ Identify

- Topic
- Strategic area
- Expertise and interaction needed to do the project

*\*Make sure that the proposal fits with the overall aims of the granting agency and that you are eligible*

# Planning a proposal

- Identify team of applicants with the complementary expertise and get them involved
  - Identify and recruit non-University partners (*if applicable*)
    - Industry
    - Governmental
    - NGOs
- 

## 2. Getting started

- Start as early as possible to be ready **BEFORE** the deadlines
- Get personal data forms (CVs) completed right at the start. Remember that you can ask the Research Support Center for help. Highlight accomplishments pertinent to the proposal.

# Getting started

- Establish a to-do list:
  - Assign tasks
  - Establish time-table for completion of specific tasks (*1st, 2nd, ... final drafts of the research proposal, budget, letters of collaboration, signatures, photocopies, etc*)
  - Recruit your Research Facilitator


# 3. The research proposal

- Describe the problem and why it is important
- Set objectives
  - Long term (*a vision of what you would like to be able to do but can't given the current state of knowledge*)
  - Short term (*a list of specific objectives to be achieved in the course of the projects*)

# The research proposal

- Proposals should be hypothesis-driven. One or more testable hypotheses should be formulated in the form:
  - We propose that *'The bigger they are, the harder they fall'* and we will use the following model, approaches, techniques... to prove it.'

# Background

- An up-to-date summary of the state of knowledge of the field, including opposing theories and what directions other groups are taking
  - Should serve to situate the research problem
  - If possible, emphasize your work in the area
- 



# Methods

- A brief description of overall approaches and techniques that will be employed to tackle the problem (*if approach is novel, say so!*)
- Followed by relatively detailed description of model, techniques, equipment, criteria, statistics (*show that you know what you are talking about*)

# Methods *(continued)*

- Try to include a graphical or tabular representation of the time-line of the project (*to show that it can be done in the course of the granting period*)
- If possible, add preliminary or otherwise representative data (*show that you are technically able to do it!*)

# The research team

- Describe expertise, roles, responsibilities and collaborations among group members and those who provided letters of support/collaboration (*important to identify the project-specific complementary expertise*)
- Also roles and responsibilities of non-University partners (*append 'GOOD' letter signed by somebody with authority*)

# Training

## ➤ Personnel

- Describe technical skills required (can propose names with existing expertise or will hire and train new personnel)

## ➤ Students

- Why is the project an appropriate teaching milieu?
- What degrees they will earn

# Relevance

- Why is the project important?
- Who will benefit?
- What important question will be answered?
- Future directions, depending on your results

# Feasibility

- Have at your disposal all the required knowledge, skills, infrastructure and collaborations to do the project as described
- Be realistic when stating timelines, workloads, scope of the project and possible results or outcomes of the research.

# 4. The budget

- Justify and detail items as much as possible (add cost quotations where available)
  - Participants compensations
  - Materials
  - Computer hardware and software
  - Equipment (*provide quotation expensive ones*)
  - Licenses and other fees
  - Travel, conferences and publication costs
  - Students, technicians (*justify number and pay scales*)
  - In-kind contributions (*adds weight to feasibility*)

# 5. The CVs

- Don't be afraid to mention even minor or old presentations or accomplishments if they seem relevant to this project
- Be strategic in the presentation of your CV: make it look good and show the compatibility of skills and expertise with your teammates
- If you are requesting to be considered as new scholar, verify that you qualify as such
- If you had a career interruption, say so and make your case in the application



# Address former reviewers comments!

- If you are reapplying with a similar project, don't forget to address the former reviewers comments. The committee will be expecting this sheet on your proposal
- Acknowledge positive comments
- Explain changes that you have made or positively and politely defend your previous position (*on an item by item basis*)
- Your tone is very important!

# Photocopies

- Must be of high quality (*after all, this is what the reviewers are going to read!*)
- Pictures and figures are preferably all originals

# General dos

- Use the summary to sell your project and remember that if approved, this is the information that will be used for promotional purposes
- Have a clear and simple hypothesis and provide clearly stated objectives, rationale and approaches
- Provide a clear perspective of relevance
- Provide a general introduction that describes both the state-of-the-art and your place in the field


# General dos (continued)

- Discuss alternative approaches
- Provide an appropriate level of experimental detail
- Provide realistic expectations for outcomes and their impacts
- Establish the expertise and personnel necessary to accomplish the work
- Provide original, clearly labeled figures and easy to read copies
- Respect the format requirements throughout the proposal.

# General don'ts

- Cut & paste from other sections in the summary
- Leave your hypothesis buried in the body of the grant
- Assume that the relevance of your work will be obvious to the reviewer
- Describe your accomplishments disproportionately
- Provide excessive numbers of figures or poor quality figures
- Fiddle with the length requires (*margins, fonts, spacing*)

# General don'ts (continued)

- Claim outcomes that are unrealistic (*our results will cure all cancers!*)
  - Overwhelm the reviewer with unnecessary details
  - Try to fool yourself or the reviewer
  - Go off on unnecessary tangents (*even if you find them interesting*)
- 

# Therefore

- Minimize their effort to assimilate the information
- Convince them of the field's importance, the relevance and clarity of the question and your ability to answer it
- Write lucidly and be grammatically correct
- Limit the number of abbreviations
- Be ready before the deadline so you can ask the Review Committee to comment on your proposal